



Hire vs. Augment

The 2026 Decision Framework



The Problem

- Full-time hiring is slow and expensive when L&D demand spikes.
- Headcount is fixed even when project scope isn't.
- Skill gaps in AI-enabled instructional design are hard to fill permanently.

The Numbers

	Hire	Augment
Cost	\$5,475 avg. cost per non-executive hire. (SHRM 2025 Benchmarking Report)	Up to 60% cheaper via offshore/hybrid hubs. (Verified Market Research, 2026)
Speed	42–45 days average time to fill. (SHRM 2025 Benchmarking Report)	Shortlist in 48 hours, onboarded in a week. (Infopro Learning benchmark Report)
Flexibility	Fixed headcount, hard to unwind.	Scales up or down with project need.

The Hidden Costs



Either way, a bad match costs more than the search itself.

The Framework: Ask 3 Questions

- 01 Is this requirement permanent or project-based?
- 02 Do you need the skill for 3 months or 3 years?
- 03 Can you absorb 42+ days of vacancy cost, or does the launch window close sooner?

The Business Case

- Hiring builds long-term capability but ties capital to headcount for the life of the role.
- Augmentation converts a fixed cost into a variable one, freeing budget to match actual demand.
- The decision isn't hire vs. augment. It's how much flexibility your L&D strategy can afford to give up.



Top L&D functions don't pick one model; they run hire and augment side by side.

Talent availability is now a top barrier to execution: 73% of leaders rank it #1. The real question isn't hire or augment. It's how fast you can shift between them.

Are you building your next L&D team, or scaling one?
Let's find the right fit.

Connect with Us

